



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,012 07/09/2003		Ching-Tien Lin	5315	8753	
26936	7590	04/13/2005		EXAM	INER
		O MATTARE, LTI	ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE		
10 POST OFFICE ROAD - SUITE 110 SILVER SPRING, MD 20910				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·				3676	

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	10/615,012	LIN, CHING-TIEN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Gary Estremsky	3676					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5 and 7-12</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,7,8 and 10</u> is/are rejected.	· · · <del></del>						
7) Claim(s) <u>2,9,11 and 12</u> is/are objected to.	【 Claim(s) <u>2,9,11 and 12</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati	on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Objections

1. Claims 2, 7, 10, and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 2; last line - "aim" should be replaced with -arm-.

Claim 7; last line - "swine" should be replaced with -swing-.

Claim 10; line 3 - "b" should be replaced with -by-.

Claim 12; line 4 - --for- should be inserted before "making".

Claim 12; last line - "move" should be replaced with -moved-.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 5, 7, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 5,947,534 to Zarzycki in view of U.S. Pat. No. 5,169,185 to Slaybaugh.

Zarzycki '534 teaches Applicant's claim limitations including : a "first opening unit" - including the bolt mechanism and operating portions of the door knob that are

Art Unit: 3676

disposed interior of the door, "coupled to an opening member mounted on a surface of the fire door" - the door knob shown in upper portion of the Fig on the face of the Patent, "for operating a lock bolt of a lock bolt mount that is within the first opening unit" - 16, a "second opening unit" - the press bar assembly shown in lower portion of Fig on the face of the Patent, "comprises a base plate" - portion of 212 in contact with the door, a "handlebar" - 216. While the reference discloses structure that might conceivably be read on "horseshoes" limitations due to overall U-shape of assembled actuating portions (at 261b) etc as shown in Fig's 12B,13, it is the examiner's position that structure corresponding more closely with what has been disclosed and identically with what has been claimed is long known in the art. U.S. Pat. No. 5,169,185 to Slaybaugh discloses (see Fig's 9,10 for example) that "second horseshoes" - including 35, "actuators" - 13 bent in a U-shape in the center, "first horseshoes" - including 10, "push rod" - including 7, "swing arm" - including 51, having structure reading on all claim limitations are well known in the art of fire panic bar operating assemblies. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the panic bar assembly of Zarzychi '534 with integrally-formed horseshoes and actuators as taught by Slaybaugh '185 in order to reduce parts and reduce tolerance build-up for a tighter feeling in the assembly. One of ordinary skill in the art would have more than a reasonable expectation of success since the disclosed panic bar assemblies are generally equivalent as regards achieving retraction of a latch bolt in response to pressing of a panic bar press bar assembly.

Art Unit: 3676

As regards claim 5, the actuator of Slaybaugh '185, as relied upon, is bent twice at ninety degrees each time to form the central portion and the end portions as they are shown.

As regards claim 7, Slaybaugh '185, as relied upon has a linkage member between the pushrod (7) and bolt (9) with three arms integrally coupled at the pivot where arm contacting bolt reads on "swing arm" and arm contacting the push rod reads on "link arm". It's noted that "coupled to" is broad and does not require direct contact but allows for intermediate elements. The law of anticipation requires that a distinction be made between the invention described or taught and the invention claimed. It does not require that the reference "teach" what the subject patent teaches. Assuming that a reference is properly "prior art," it is only necessary that the claims under consideration "read on" something disclosed in the reference, i.e., all limitations of the claim are found in the reference, or "fully met" by it. *Kalman v. Kimberly-Clark Corp.*, 218 USPQ 789.

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2, 9, 11, and 12 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,012

Art Unit: 3676

Conclusion

Page 5

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,322,332 to Toledo.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,189,939 to Zehrung.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3676

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Estremsky whose telephone number is 703 308-0494. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Will can be reached on 703 308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary/Estremsky Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676 Page 6